

School of Education

EDST5436 Evaluation of Educational Programs

Term 2 2021

Contents

1.	LOCATION	2
	STAFF CONTACT DETAILS	
2.		
3.	COURSE DETAILS	
	TUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES	
PF	ROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES	3
AUS	STRALIAN PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS FOR TEACHERS	3
4.	RATIONALE FOR THE INCLUSION OF CONTENT AND TEACHING APPROACH	4
5.	TEACHING STRATEGIES	4
6.	COURSE CONTENT AND STRUCTURE	5
7.	RESOURCES	6
8.	ASSESSMENT	6

IMPORTANT:

For student policies and procedures relating to assessment, attendance and student support, please see website, https://education.arts.unsw.edu.au/students/courses/course-outlines/

The School of Education acknowledges the Bedegal people as the traditional custodians of the lands upon which we learn and teach.

1. LOCATION

Faculty of Arts, Design & Architecture School of Education EDST5436 Evaluation of Educational Programs (6 units of credit) Term 2 2021

2. STAFF CONTACT DETAILS

Course Coordinator: Dr Dennis Alonzo

Office Location: G41 Morven Brown Building Email: d.alonzo@unsw.edu.au

Availability: By email

3. COURSE DETAILS

Course Name	Evaluation of Educational Programs
Credit Points	6 units of credit (6 uoc)
Workload	Includes 150 hours including class contact hours, readings, class preparation, assessment, follow up activities, etc.
Schedule	http://classutil.unsw.edu.au/EDST_T2.html#EDST5436 T2

SUMMARY OF COURSE

In this course, you will learn to evaluate educational programs, becoming informed consumers of and contributors to what works in education. The course will focus on evaluation theory and practice. It draws from the full range of literature on evaluation but focuses on the literature and cases in educational evaluation contexts.

THE MAIN WAYS IN WHICH THE COURSE HAS CHANGED SINCE LAST TIME AS A RESULT OF STUDENT FEEDBACK:

- Resources have been updated.
- Group work to increase engagement has been added.
- Inclusion of supplementary lecture every fortnight.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Outcome		Assessment/s
1	Demonstrate an understanding of program evaluation in education through individual and collaborative inquiry of theory and research-based approaches to program evaluation.	1, 2
2	Critically appraise different theoretical and research-based approaches to evaluation and determine relevance for evaluation of programs in education.	1, 2
3	Explain theoretical and research-based procedures that could be used in the execution of a program evaluation in a previous or current professional work context.	1, 2
4	Apply appropriate theoretical and research-based evaluation strategies in a plan to evaluate an educational program in a previous or current professional work context.	2
5	Critically discuss the theoretical and practical issues involved in the evaluation of programs in education.	1, 2

PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES

Standard		Assessment/s
1	Advanced disciplinary knowledge and practices Demonstrate an advanced understanding of the field of education as it relates to their specialist area of study, and the ability to synthesize and apply disciplinary principles and practices to new or complex environments.	1, 2
2	Enquiry-based learning Demonstrate an in-depth understanding of research-based learning and the ability to plan, analyse, present implement and evaluate complex activities that contribute to advanced professional practice and/or intellectual scholarship in education.	1, 2
3	Cognitive skills and critical thinking Demonstrate advanced critical thinking and problem-solving skills	2
4	Communication, adaptive and interactional skills Communicate effectively to a range of audiences, and be capable of independent and collaborative enquiry and team-based leadership	2

AUSTRALIAN PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS FOR TEACHERS

Standard		Assessment/s
2.3.2	Design and implement learning and teaching programs using knowledge of curriculum, assessment and reporting requirements.	1, 2
2.3.3	Support colleagues to plan and implement learning and teaching programs using contemporary knowledge and understanding of curriculum, assessment and reporting requirements.	1, 2
2.3.4	Lead colleagues to develop learning and teaching programs using comprehensive knowledge of curriculum, assessment and reporting requirements.	1, 2
3.6.2.	Evaluate personal teaching and learning programs using evidence, including feedback from students and student assessment data, to inform planning.	1, 2
3.6.3.	Work with colleagues to review current teaching and learning programs using student feedback, student assessment data, knowledge of curriculum and workplace practices.	1, 2
3.6.4	Conduct regular reviews of teaching and learning programs using multiple sources of evidence including: student assessment data, curriculum documents, teaching practices and feedback from parents/carers, students and colleagues.	1, 2

5.4.2	Use student assessment data to analyse and evaluate student understanding of subject/content, identifying interventions and modifying teaching practice.	2
5.4.3.	Work with colleagues to use data from internal and external student assessments for evaluating learning and teaching, identifying interventions and modifying teaching practice.	2
5.4.4.	Co-ordinate student performance and program evaluation using internal and external student assessment data to improve teaching practice.	2
5.5.2	Report clearly, accurately and respectfully to students and parents/carers about student achievement, making use of accurate and reliable records.	2
5.5.3.	Work with colleagues to construct accurate, informative and timely reports to students and parents/carers about student learning and achievement.	2
5.5.4.	Evaluate and revise reporting and accountability mechanisms in the school to meet the needs of students, parents/carers and colleagues.	2

4. RATIONALE FOR THE INCLUSION OF CONTENT AND TEACHING APPROACH

This course will enable students to develop an understanding of evaluation that will enhance their practice as leaders of education organisations. It reflects a view that an understanding of evaluation theory offers a coherent set of conceptual, hypothetical, pragmatic and ethical principles to guide the study and practice of educational evaluation.

5. TEACHING STRATEGIES

The course will develop and stimulate student critical thinking using a blend of traditional face-to-face lectures and practical seminar activities. These will help students understand and develop their own views on the appropriate use of theories and application of educational evaluation.

Group discussion, problem-based activities and case studies are designed to allow the application of evaluation strategies to aid in the realisation of an evaluation plan for students' choice of educational program.

6. COURSE CONTENT AND STRUCTURE

Module	Lecture Topic
Session 1	Introduction to evaluation - Text Ch 1
(May 31 - 6 June)	Supplementary online lecture (1 June, 6:00-7:30pm) – Link will be emailed.
Session 2	Evaluation approaches - Text Ch 2, 4 &10
(7-13 June)	Logic models and program theory - Knowlton Ch 1
Session 3	Focusing on evaluation design - Text Ch 11-12
(14-20 June)	Supplementary online lecture (15 June, 6:00-7:30pm)
Session 4	Factoria and the same to the same and the sa
(21-28 June)	Evaluation questions and criteria - Text Ch 13
	Assessment 1 Due
Session 5	Planning how to conduction an evaluation - Text Ch 14
(28 June – 4 July)	Supplementary online lecture (29 June, 6:00-7:30pm)
Session 6	Ethics, sampling and cost choices - Text Ch 15
(5 -11 July)	Ethics, sampling and cost choices - Text on 13
Session 7	Data sources, methods and analysis - Text Ch 16
(12-18 July)	Supplementary online lecture (13 July, 6:00-7:30pm)
Session 8	
(19-25 July)	Data sources, methods and analysis - quantitative
Session 9	Reporting an evaluation - Text Ch 17
(26 July – 1 Aug)	
Session 10	Workshop: Preparing an evaluation report
(2-8 August)	Supplementary online lecture (3 August, 6:00-7:30pm)
	Assessment 2 Due

7. RESOURCES

Required Readings

- AEA. (2004). *Guiding Principles For Evaluators*: American Evaluation Association. available from http://www.eval.org/p/cm/ld/fid=51
- AES. (2013). Guidelines for the Ethical Conduct of Evaluations: Australasian Evaluation Society Inc. available from http://www.aes.asn.au/images/stories/files/membership/AES Guidelines web v2.pdf
- Fitzpatrick, J., Sanders, J., & Worthen, B. (2012). *Program Evaluation: Alternative Approaches and Practical Guidelines*. 4th International Edition New York: Pearson
- Knowlton, L. W. (2009). Introduction to Logic Models. In L. W. Knowlton & C. C. Phillips (Eds.), *The logic model guidebook: Better strategies for great results*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Further Readings

- BetterEvaluation. (n.d.). Retrieved May 2016, from http://betterevaluation.org/
- Fitzpatrick, J. (2002). Dialogue with Stewart Donaldson. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 23, 347-365.
- NSW Government. (2016). *NSW Government Evaluation Toolkit*. Department of Premier and Cabinaet. Retrieved May, 2016, from http://www.dpc.nsw.gov.au/programs and services/policy makers toolkit/evaluation toolkit
- Owen, J. M. (1991). An Evaluation Approach to Training Using the Notion of Form: An Australian Example. *Evaluation Practice*, 12(2), 131-137.
- Stufflebeam, D. & Shinkfield, A. (2007). *Evaluation theory, models and applications*. San Francisco, CA: Wiley & Sons.
- Pell Institute and Pathways to College Network. (2016). *Evaluation Toolkit*. Retrieved May 2016, from http://toolkit.pellinstitute.org/

8. ASSESSMENT

Assessment Task	Length	Weight	Student	Program	Australian	Due Date	
Assessment rask	Length	vveignt	Learning	Learning	Professional	Due Date	

			Outcomes Assessed	Outcomes Assessed	Standards Assessed	
Annotated Bibliography	2000 words	40%	1-3, 5	1-2	2.2.2., 2.3.3., 2.3.4., 3.6.2., 3.6.3., 3.6.4.	27 Jun 2021 by 5pm
Evaluation Plan	4500 words	60%	1-5	1-4	2.2.2., 2.3.3., 2.3.4., 3.6.2., 3.6.3., 3.6.4., 5.4.2., 5.4.3., 5.4.4., 5.5.2., 5.5.3., 2.5.4.	15 Aug 2021 by 5pm

Submission of assessments

Students are required to follow their lecturer's instructions when submitting their work for assessment. All assessment will be submitted online via Moodle by 5pm. Students are also required to keep all drafts, original data and other evidence of the authenticity of the work for at least one year after examination. If an assessment is mislaid the student is responsible for providing a further copy. Please see the Student Policies and Procedures for information regarding submission, extensions, special consideration, late penalties and hurdle requirements etc. https://education.arts.unsw.edu.au/students/courses/course-outlines/

Assessment 1: Annotated Bibliography

Select 5 program evaluations that you consider to be high quality and provide annotations for each of them. The evaluations need not show positive results, but you should be able to defend the evaluations as methodologically and conceptually robust. These can be drawn from peer-reviewed publications, government reports, foundation reports, or other sources. For each evaluation report, you should write:

- Purpose of the evaluation
- · Short description of the evaluand
- · Summary of the methodology used; and
- Critical evaluation (strengths, weaknesses and biases) of the evaluation approach used and the overall evaluation report. Use references to support your arguments.

Write a general introduction to inform your readers with the aim and structure of your paper before writing your annotated bibliography. Then, conclude it by outlining some key learnings that you can use to ensure that your evaluation plan (Assessment 2) is methodologically and conceptually robust.

Assessment 2: Evaluation Plan

This assessment requires you to write an evaluation plan. You need to apply your knowledge of evaluation practices to complete this task. Select an educational program to evaluate. You need to write the following:

- Brief description of the program including aims, target audience and outcomes
- Purpose of evaluation
- Audience of evaluation
- Evaluation questions
- Evaluation design/approach (you need to include a brief discussion on the appropriateness of your chosen design/approach; support with references);
- Outcomes for measurement
- Data collection method/s and samples (include a brief rationale for choosing your data collection method/s)
- Ethical considerations
- · Data analysis
- · Plan for dissemination; and
- Timeline

Those who have no exposure to school yet, consider the hypothetical Educational Program posted in Moodle. Build your evaluation plan from that scenario.

Detailed information on each of these assessments can be found on the EDST5436 Moodle site.

UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5436 EVALUATION OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Student Name: Student No.:

Assessment Task 1: Annotated bibliography

SPECIFIC CRITERIA	(-) —	>	(+)
Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved			
 Select 5 program evaluations that you consider to be high quality and prov 	vide		
annotations for each of them. The evaluations need not show positive resi	ults,		
but you should be able to defend the evaluations as methodologically and			
conceptually robust. These can be drawn from peer-reviewed publications	> ,		
government reports, foundation reports, or other sources.			
Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the task			
 Your annotations should address whether the objectives are clear and 			
measurable.			
 The overall merit (quality), worth (value), and significance (importance) of evaluation 	the		
The clarity of the purpose of the evaluation, including whether the evaluation.	ion		
was/ is ongoing (formative) or at the program conclusion (summative)			
 The inputs (including data sources, sampling strategies, ethical considerations) 			
The outcomes			
 The methodology (qualitative, quantitative, mixed) and its strength (or lack thereof) 			
 The validity of the conclusions drawn based on the inputs, outcomes, and methodology. 			
Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature use	d to		
support response			
 Reasons provided for inclusion of evaluations 			
Structure and organisation of response			
Use of APA throughout.			
Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic			
conventions			
Clarity and appropriateness of sentence structure, vocabulary, spelling an	a		
punctuation. GENERAL COMMENTS/RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEXT TIME			

Lecturer: Date:

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 40%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualize and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee.

FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5436 EVALUATION OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Student Name: Student No.:

Assessment Task 2: Evaluation plan

SPECIFIC CRITERIA	(-) —		 ≻	(+)
Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved				
 Program background. Provide the purpose, questions and criteria, design/s, 				ì
information and sources, measurements and data collection methods.				ì
Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the task				
Program background description and logic model				ì
Purpose, questions and criteria				ì
Process evaluation				i
Outcome Evaluation				i
Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to				
support response				ì
Use of appropriate models and diagrams				i
Description of the evaluation design/s				ì
Supporting arguments for decisions made and methods selected				1
Structure and organisation of response				
Detailed evaluation plan				ì
Identification of the evaluation questions				i.
Criteria/standards				ì
Evaluation design				i.
Sources of information				i.
Proposed data analysis				i.
Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic				
conventions				ì
 Clarity and appropriateness of sentence structure, vocabulary, spelling and 				ì
punctuation.				i.
GENERAL COMMENTS				

Lecturer: Date:

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 60%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualize and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee.