W Arts & Social Sciences

School of Education

EDST5451

Educational Policy: Theory and Practice

Term 3, 2019

Contents

| 1. | LOCATION | 2 |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| 2. | STAFF CONTACT DETAILS | 2 |
| 3. | COURSE DETAILS | 2 |
| | STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES | |
| | PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES | |
| | AITSL PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS FOR TEACHERS | 3 |
| 4. | RATIONALE FOR THE INCLUSION OF CONTENT AND TEACHING APPROACH | 4 |
| 5. | TEACHING STRATEGIES | |
| 6. | COURSE CONTENT AND STRUCTURE | 4 |
| 7. | RESOURCES | 5 |
| 8. | ASSESSMENT | |

IMPORTANT:

For student policies and procedures relating to assessment, attendance and student support, please see website, https://education.arts.unsw.edu.au/students/courses/course-outlines/

The School of Education acknowledges the Bedegal people as the traditional custodians of the lands upon which we learn and teach.

1. LOCATION

Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences School of Education EDST5451 Educational Policy: Theory and Practice (6 units of credit) Term 3, 2019

2. STAFF CONTACT DETAILS

Course Convenor: Dr Meghan Stacey
Office Location: Morven Brown, G18
Email: m.stacey@unsw.edu.au

Phone: 9385 1986 Availability: By appointment

3. COURSE DETAILS

| Course Name | EDST5451 Educational Policy: Theory and Practice |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Credit Points | 6 units of credit (uoc) |
| Workload | Includes 150 hours including class contact hours, readings, class |
| | preparation, assessment, follow up activities, etc. |
| Schedule | http://classutil.unsw.edu.au/EDST_T3.html |

SUMMARY OF COURSE

In this course you are introduced to education policy, education policy debates, and some of the major issues facing Australian and international education policymakers. The course aims to increase your understanding of the complexities, advantages, and limitations of education policy and to assist you in becoming effective leaders and practitioners who inform, shape, and influence education policy. The course has a particular focus on federal and state policy in education, including the impact of education policy on schools and students; the interests of stakeholders in designing education policy; the theories of change underpinning education policy initiatives; the implementation challenges of policy approaches, and the impact of various reform strategies on building teaching capacity, accountability, and improving learning for all students. You will develop "policy skills" through writing a policy brief and writing policy-based commentary analysing an aspect of one of the policy issues examined in this course.

THE MAIN WAYS IN WHICH THE COURSE HAS CHANGED SINCE LAST TIME AS A RESULT OF STUDENT FEEDBACK:

 The course focuses more closely on educational contexts of policy rather than policy on a wider/broader scale.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

| Outcome | | Assessment/s |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | Understand and use research on educational policy | 1, 2 |
| 2 | Critically analyse educational policy | 1, 2 |
| 3 | Design policy solutions to issues in education | 1, 2 |

PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES

| Standard | | Assessment/s |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| | Advanced disciplinary knowledge and practices | 1, 2 |
| 1 | Demonstrate an advanced understanding of the field of education as it relates to | |
| ı | their specialist area of study, and the ability to synthesize and apply disciplinary | |
| | principles and practices to new or complex environments. | |
| | Enquiry-based learning | 1, 2 |
| | Demonstrate an in-depth understanding of research-based learning and the | |
| 2 | ability to plan, analyse, present, implement and evaluate complex activities that | |
| | contribute to advanced professional practice and/or intellectual scholarship in | |
| | education. | |
| 3 | Cognitive skills and critical thinking | 1, 2 |
| 3 | Demonstrate advanced critical thinking and problem-solving skills | |
| | Communication, adaptive and interactional skills | 1, 2 |
| 4 | Communicate effectively to a range of audiences, and be capable of | |
| 4 | independent and collaborative enquiry and team-based leadership | |
| | Global outlook | 1, 2 |
| 5 | Demonstrate an understanding of international perspectives relevant to the | |
| | educational field | |
| | Ethics | 1, 2 |
| 6 | Demonstrate an advanced capacity to recognise and negotiate the complex and | |
| | often contested values and ethical practices that underlie education | |

AITSL PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS FOR TEACHERS

| Standard | | Assessment/s |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1.6.4 | Initiate and lead the review of school policies to support the engagement and full participation of students with disability and ensure compliance with legislative and/or system policies. | 1 |
| 4.4.4 | Evaluate the effectiveness of student well-being policies and safe working practices using current school and/ or system, curriculum and legislative requirements and assist colleagues to update their practices. | 1 |
| 4.5.4 | Review or implement new policies and strategies to ensure the safe, responsible and ethical use of ICT in learning and teaching. | 1 |
| 6.2.2 | Participate in learning to update knowledge and practice, targeted to professional needs and school and/or system priorities. | 2 |
| 7.2.3 | Support colleagues to review and interpret legislative, administrative, and organisational requirements, policies and processes. | 2 |
| 7.4.2 | Participate in professional and community networks and forums to broaden knowledge and improve practice | 2 |

4. RATIONALE FOR THE INCLUSION OF CONTENT AND TEACHING APPROACH

A broad critical understanding of the policy debates and approaches in Australian education is necessary to assist students in becoming effective leaders and practitioners who inform, shape, and implement education policy and to be an informed, engaged educator. The teaching, learning, and assessment activities in this course are designed to provide students with the opportunity to develop a critical understanding of policy issues, existing policy approaches, and alternative policy solutions.

5. TEACHING STRATEGIES

The course will use a combination of lectures, whole-group discussions, and student-led discussions designed to provide participants with the opportunity to deeply study the themes of the course.

6. COURSE CONTENT AND STRUCTURE

| Module | Lecture Topic |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 17/09/19 | What is (Education) Policy? |
| 2 24/09/19 | The Policy-Making Framework in Australia and Beyond |
| 3 01/10/19 | Theme 1: Standardisation |
| 4 08/10/19 | Theme 2: Testing, Data and Evidence |
| 5 15/10/19 | Theme 3: Teachers, Teaching & Teachers' Work |
| 6 22/10/19 | A Policy-Maker's Perspective: Q&A with Professor Adrian Piccoli |
| 7 29/10/19 | Theme 4: Markets & Funding |
| 8 05/11/19 | Theme 5: Commercialisation |
| 9 12/11/19 | Global Perspectives on Education Policy: Q&A with Professor Pasi Sahlberg |
| 10 19/11/19 | Teachers and Policy: Where to From Here? |

7. RESOURCES

Week 1

Bacchi, C. (2009). Introducing a 'what's the problem represented to be?' approach to policy analysis. In *Analysing policy: What's the problem represented to be?* pp. 1-24. Sydney: Pearson Education.

Rizvi, F. & Lingard, B. (2010). Conceptions of education policy. In *Globalising education policy*, pp. 1-21. London: Routledge.

Week 2

Lingard, B. (2010). Policy borrowing, policy learning: testing times in Australian schooling. *Critical Studies in Education 51*(2), 129-147.

Ball, S. (2012). Networks, neo-liberalism and policy mobilities. In *Global education Inc.: New policy networks and the neoliberal imaginary,* pp. 1-16. London: Routledge.

Week 3

Connell, R. (2009). Good teachers on dangerous ground. Critical Studies in Education 50(3), 213-229.

Ingvarson, L. (2010). Recognising accomplished teachers in Australia: Where have we been? Where are we heading? *Australian Journal of Education 54*(1), 46-71.

Savage, G. (2016). Who's steering the ship? National curriculum reform and the re-shaping of Australian federalism. *Journal of Education Policy* 31(6), 833-850.

Week 4

Ladwig, J. G. (2018). On the limits of evidence-based learning of educational science. In G. E. Hall, L. F. Quinn & D. M. Gollnick (Eds.), *The Wiley Handbook of Teaching and Learning,* pp. 639-659. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.

Lingard, B. & Sellar, S. (2013). 'Catalyst data': Perverse systemic effects of audit and accountability in Australian schooling. *Journal of Education Policy* 28(5), 634-656.

Slavin, R. E. (2017). Evidence-based reform in education. *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk* 22(3), 178-184.

Week 5

Hattie, J. (2003). Teachers make a difference, what is the research evidence? Paper presented at the Building Teacher Quality: What does the research tell us ACER Research Conference, Melbourne, Australia. Retrieved from http://research.acer.edu.au/research_conference_2003/4/

Mockler, N. (2018). Early career teachers in Australia: A critical policy historiography. *Journal of Education Policy* 33(2), 262-278.

Skourdoumbis, A. (2014). International 'benchmarking' studies and the identification of 'education best practice': a focus on classroom teachers and their practices. *Australian Educational Researcher 41*, 411-423.

Week 6

Sherington, G. & Hughes, J. (2012). Education. In D. Clune & R. Smith (Eds.), *From Carr to Keneally: Labor in office in NSW 1995-2011* (pp. 138-149). Crows Nest NSW: Allen & Unwin.

Lingard, B. (2013). The impact of research on education policy in an era of evidence-based policy. *Critical Studies in Education 54*(2), 113-131.

Week 7

Jha, T. & Buckingham, J. (2015). Free to choose charter schools: How charter and for-profit schools can boost public education. Sydney: Centre for Independent Studies.

Forsey, M., Proctor, H. & Stacey, M. (2017). A most poisonous debate: Legitimizing support for Australian private schools. In T. Koinzer, R. Nikolai & F. Waldow (Eds.), *Private schools and school choice in compulsory education*, pp. 49-66. Wiesbaden: Springer.

Rowe, E. E. & Lubienski, C. (2017). Shopping for schools or shopping for peers: Public schools and catchment area segregation. *Journal of Education Policy* 32(3), 340-356.

Week 8

Dees, J. G. & Anderson, B. B. (2003). Sector-bending: Blurring lines between non-profit and for-profit. *Society 40*(4), 16-27.

Hogan, A., Thompson, G., Sellar, S. & Lingard, B. (2018). Teachers' and school leaders' perceptions of commercialisation in Australian public schools. *Australian Educational Researcher* 45(2), 141-160.

Williamson, B. (2016). Digital education governance: Data visualization, predictive analytics, and 'real-time' policy instruments. *Journal of Education Policy* 31(2), 123-141.

Week 9

Ravitch, D. (2014). Hoaxes in educational policy. The Teacher Educator 49(3), 153-165.

Sahlberg, P. (2016). The global education reform movement and its impact on schooling. In K. Mundy, A. Green, B. Lingard & A. Verger (Eds.), *The handbook of global education policy,* pp. 128-144. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.

Week 10

Ball, S. J., Maguire, M., Braun, A. & Hoskins, K. (2011). Policy actors: doing policy work in schools. *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education* 32(4), 625-639.

Braun, A., Maguire, M. & Ball, S. J. (2010). Policy enactments in the UK secondary school: examining policy, practice and school positioning. *Journal of Education Policy* 25(4), 547-560.

8. ASSESSMENT

| Assessment Task | Length | Weight | Student Learning Outcomes Assessed | Professional Teaching Standards | Due Date |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Assessment 1 – Policy Analysis | 2000 words | 40% | 1, 2, 3 | 1.6.4, 4.4.4, 4.5.4 | Day 11/10/19 By 5:00pm |
| Assessment 2 – Policy Briefing | 3000 words | 60% | 1, 2, 3 | 6.2.2, 7.2.3, 7.4.2 | Day 22/11/19 By 5:00pm |

Submission of assessments

Students are required to follow their lecturer's instructions when submitting their work for assessment. All assessment will be submitted online via Moodle by 5pm. Students are also required to keep all drafts, original data and other evidence of the authenticity of the work for at least one year after examination. If an assessment is mislaid the student is responsible for providing a further copy. Please see the Student Policies and Procedures for information regarding submission, extensions, special consideration, late penalties and hurdle requirements etc.

https://education.arts.unsw.edu.au/students/courses/course-outlines/

Assessment Details

Assessment 1

Analyse an existing policy document related to school education.

The policy may exist at school, state or federal level. Drawing on Bacchi (2009), prepare a 2000w analysis of the policy that:

- 1. Identifies the 'problem' being addressed;
- 2. Identifies assumptions underlying this representation of the 'problem';
- 3. Explores the history behind the policy and how it came about;
- 4. Identifies the silences within the policy;
- 5. Identifies the potential effects of the policy:
- 6. Suggests how this representation of the 'problem' could be questioned, disrupted or replaced.

Draw on academic and policy documents as appropriate to support your response.

Reference

Bacchi, C. (2009). *Analysing policy: What's the problem represented to be?* Frenchs Forest: Pearson Education.

Assessment 2

Prepare and analyse a policy brief for a policymaker.

Part 1: Policy Brief

Write a memo to a state or federal policymaker, addressing a particular issue in education policy. Present your position on this issue, i.e. how you think it should be addressed.

Part 2: Analysis of Policy Brief

Reflect upon the 'solution' you have put forward in Part 1. Using your knowledge of the research literature, explore the dynamics of the policy 'problem', including the various ways in which it could be understood and, accordingly, addressed. Justify the position outlined in Part 1, drawing on the policy analysis approach developed in Assessment 1 to critically evaluate the position you have taken.

Draw on academic and policy documents as appropriate to support your response (you must include reference to unit readings as well as self-identified academic and policy sources).

UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION FEEDBACK SHEET

EDST5451 EDUCATIONAL POLICY: THEORY AND PRACTICE

Assessment Task 1

Lecturer Date

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 40%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualize and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee

UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5451 EDUCATIONAL POLICY: THEORY AND PRACTICE

Assessment Task 2

| SPECIFIC CRITERIA | (-) — | | | -> (+) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---|-----|------------------|
| Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved | | | | |
| Clear identification of a relevant issue in education policy at state or federal level | | | | |
| A position relevant to this policy issue is clearly identified and explained | | | | |
| Clear understanding of the relationship between policy 'problems' and 'solutions' | | | | |
| Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the task | | | | |
| Critical analysis of the dynamics surrounding the particular policy 'problem' and how it could be understood and addressed | | | | |
| Thoughtful, reflexive analysis of position taken | | | | |
| Critical and convincing evaluation of solution | | | | |
| Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature | | | | |
| used to support response | | | | |
| Uses a wide range of academic literature to effectively support response Uses unit readings as relevant to effectively support response Uses policy documents as relevant to effectively support response | | | | |
| Structure and organisation of response | | | | |
| Logical sequencing of ideas in response to task requirements Effective use of paragraphing Clarity and coherence of organisation, including use of sub-headings where appropriate | | | | |
| Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic | | | | |
| conventions | | | | |
| Writing adheres to academic standards of grammar, punctuation and | | | | |
| spelling | | | | |
| Accuracy and consistency in use of APA conventions, including both in- | | | | |
| text citations and the reference list | | | | |
| Within +/-10% of the word limit | | | | |
| GENERAL COMMENTS | 1 | ı | u . | |

Lecturer Date

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 60%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualize and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee.