

School of Education

EDST5443

Assessment for Learning: From Theory to Practice

Term 2, 2019 (Online Class)

Contents

1.	LOCATION	2
2.	STAFF CONTACT DETAILS	2
3.	COURSE DETAILS	2
	STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES	3
	PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES	3
4.	RATIONALE FOR THE INCLUSION OF CONTENT AND TEACHING APPROACH.	5
5.	TEACHING STRATEGIES	5
<mark>6.</mark>	COURSE CONTENT AND STRUCTURE - ONLY TO WEEK 10	6
<mark>7.</mark>	RESOURCES	10
8.	ASSESSMENT	13

IMPORTANT:

For student policies and procedures relating to assessment, attendance and student support, please see website, https://education.arts.unsw.edu.au/students/courses/course-outlines/

The School of Education acknowledges the Bedegal people as the traditional custodians of the lands upon which we learn and teach.

1. LOCATION

Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences School of Education EDST 5443 Assessment for Learning (6 units of credit) Term 2 2019

2. STAFF CONTACT DETAILS

Course Convenor: Dennis Alonzo

Email: <u>d.alonzo@unsw.edu.au</u>

Availability: Email correspondence only

3. COURSE DETAILS

Course Name	EDST 5443 Assessment for Learning
Credit Points	6 units of credit (uoc)
Workload	Includes 150 hours including class contact hours, readings, class preparation, online class participation, assessment, follow up activities, etc.
Schedule	http://classutil.unsw.edu.au/EDST_T2.html

SUMMARY OF COURSE

In this course, you will explore the underlying philosophy and rationale for assessment for learning, and the key approaches and problems in the implementation of such classroom-based assessment, including the implications for the role of the teacher-as-assessor, the nature of effective feedback, how to involve students in self and peer assessment, and the conflicts between such assessment systems and those emphasizing large scale testing for student selection and/or school accountability. This course focuses on developing your confidence and expertise in using assessment to effectively support student learning.

THE MAIN WAYS IN WHICH THE COURSE HAS CHANGED SINCE LAST TIME AS A RESULT OF STUDENT FEEDBACK:

New resources are added to update the current understanding of assessment for learning.
 Also, there are resources added for higher education context to address the needs of academics who are enrolled in this course.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Outcome		Assessment/s
1	Identify, describe and critically evaluate the key assumptions underlying assessment for learning locally and internationally;	1,2,3
2	Demonstrate a sound understanding of key theoretical, practical and sociocultural problems and approaches in the assessment for learning, in particular debates around trustworthiness and consistency	1,2,3
3	Apply their knowledge and understanding of assessment for learning processes to the evaluation and improvement of assessment situations in their own context.	1,2,3

PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES

Standard		Assessment/s
	Advanced disciplinary knowledge and practices	
	Demonstrate an advanced understanding of the field of education as it	
1	relates to their specialist area of study, and the ability to synthesize and	1,2,3
	apply disciplinary principles and practices to new or complex	
	environments.	
	Research-based learning	
	Demonstrate an in-depth understanding of research-based learning and	
2	the ability to plan, analyse, present implement and evaluate complex	1,2,3
	activities that contribute to advanced professional practice and/or	
	intellectual scholarship in education.	
3	Cognitive skills and critical thinking	2,3
3	Demonstrate advanced critical thinking and problem-solving skills	2,5
	Communication, adaptive and interactional skills	
4	Communicate effectively to a range of audiences, and be capable of	1, 2,3
	independent and collaborative enquiry and team-based leadership	
	International outlook	
5	Demonstrate an understanding of international perspectives relevant to	1,2,3
	the educational field	
	Ethical and responsible professional practice	
6	Demonstrate an advanced capacity to recognise and negotiate the	2,3
J	complex and often contested values and ethical practices that underlie	۷,5
	education	

AITSL PROFESSIONAL TEACHING STANDARDS (proficient, highly accomplished, lead)

Standard		Assessment/s
1.2.3	Expand understanding of how students learn using research and workplace knowledge.	1,2,3
1.5.2	Develop teaching activities that incorporate differentiated strategies to meet the specific learning needs of students across the full range of abilities.	3
2.3.2	Design and implement learning and teaching programs using knowledge of curriculum, assessment and reporting requirements.	3
3.1.3	Develop a culture of high expectations for all students by modelling and setting challenging learning goals.	2,3
3.2.2	Plan and implement well-structured learning and teaching programs or lesson sequences that engage students and promote learning.	3
3.7.2	Plan for appropriate and contextually relevant opportunities for parents/carers to be involved in their children's learning.	3
5.1.3	Develop and apply a comprehensive range of assessment strategies to diagnose learning needs, comply with curriculum requirements and support colleagues to evaluate the effectiveness of their approaches to assessment.	3
5.2.3	Select from an effective range of strategies to provide targeted feedback based on informed and timely judgements of each student's current needs in order to progress learning.	2,3
5.3.2	Understand and participate in assessment moderation activities to support consistent and comparable judgements of student learning.	1,2,3
5.4.2	Use student assessment data to analyse and evaluate student understanding of subject/content, identifying interventions and modifying teaching practice.	2,3
5.5.2	Report clearly, accurately and respectfully to students and parents/carers about student achievement, making use of accurate and reliable records.	2,3
6.1.2	Use the Australian Professional Standards for Teachers and advice from colleagues to identify and plan professional learning needs.	1,3

4. RATIONALE FOR THE INCLUSION OF CONTENT AND TEACHING APPROACH

Assessment *for* learning (AfL) occurs when assessment is conceptualized and implemented as an integral component of learning and teaching. It involves teachers designing and implementing their own classroom-based assessment tasks, actively engaging learners in self and peer assessment and incorporating critical but constructive feedback into the assessment cycle. Black & Wiliam (1998) and Hattie (2008) have convincingly demonstrating the learning gains that can be achieved through well-focused teacher-based formative assessment, demonstrating no other strategy has such potential for enhancing student learning across age levels and in different contexts. However, there are a number of issues and complexities within the classroom practice of AfL which challenge teachers and students, hence the importance of this course.

5. TEACHING STRATEGIES

We learn best when we are engaged and given an appropriate level of challenge; when our prior experience and knowledge is valued and built upon; when we are expected to take responsibility for our own learning; and when we work collaboratively with our peers.

Thus, teaching strategies used during the course will include:

- Small online group cooperative learning to demonstrate the use of group structures to address learning and teaching goals;
- Structured occasions for reflection on learning to allow you to reflect critically on and improve teaching practice;
- Demonstrate your knowledge and understanding of method content.
- Other online learning tasks through short test, self-assessment, video, forums, readings and web links on the Moodle.

All these activities will occur in an online environment that is supportive and inclusive of all learners.

6. COURSE CONTENT AND STRUCTURE

Module	Topic
Week 1 3 June	Understanding assessment for learning: Its underlying philosophy and key principles This session introduces you to the underlying rationale and key assumptions of assessment for learning, the differences between assessment for learning and assessment of learning, the core concepts of norm-referenced, criterion-referenced and standards-referenced assessment and formative/summative assessment, current approaches to AfL locally and internationally and the key conditions for implementing AfL, at the same time creating positive washback for teaching and learning. You will be shown a series of excerpts and/or case studies of AfL practices and interviews with teachers, parents, students and administrators in schools to exemplify the key points and to provide a stimulus for discussion and more systematic input. Key readings: Assessment Reform Group. 2002. Assessment for learning: 10 principles Retrieved from http://assessmentreformgroup.files.wordpress.com/2012/01/10principles_en_glish.pdf Black, P. & William, D. (2001) Inside the black box: Raising.standards.through
Week 2 10 June	classroom assessment. Retrieved Nov 4, 2009, from the World Wide Web, http://www.spd.dcu.ie/site/teaching_today/documents/Raisingstandardsthroughclassroomassessment.pdf Teacher as a student partner: Developing and sharing learning outcomes, success criteria and performance standards (rubrics) This session focuses on developing learning outcomes, success criteria and performance standards. You will develop your skills on how to develop clear and explicit learning outcomes, identify success criteria and write performance descriptions that are aligned to the learning outcomes. You will critic various strategies used for ensuring that students understand what evidence is required for each performance described and how students can effectively use rubrics to improve their learning and enhance achievement. The session will conclude by identifying various ways on how to effectively communicate to students the learning outcomes and rubrics. Key readings: Hendry, G., Armstrong, S., & Bromberger, N. (2011). Implementing standards-based assessment effectively: Incorporating discussion of exemplars into classroom teaching. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 1-13,iFirst Article. http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/02602938.2010.515014 Malini Reddy, Y. & Andrade, H (2010) A review of rubric use in higher education, Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 35(4), 435-448,

Popham, J. (1997). What's wrong - and what's right - with rubrics. School as safe heavens. http://www.ascd.org/publications/educational-leadership/oct97/vol55/num02/What%27s-Wrong%E2%80%94and-What%27s-Right%E2%80%94with-Rubrics.aspx

Rust, C., Price, M., & O'Donovan, B. (2003). Improving students' learning by developing their understanding of assessment criteria and processes.

Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 28(2), 147-164.

http://area.fc.ul.pt/en/artigos%20publicados%20internacionais/Improving%2
Ostudents%20learning.pdf

Teacher as an assessor: Designing and implementing appropriate and trustworthy assessment strategies and tasks

This session focuses on planning for the integration of assessment for learning into learning and teaching at every stage of the teaching cycle (lesson, unit, course), developing and adapting sample assessment tasks for their own classes, and developing and /or using standards-based criteria to assess students' development. You will first analyse the characteristics of an effective assessment task by looking several video excerpts of real tasks, then after discussion and further input, complete two activities in which they have to first critique and adapt an existing task, then develop an assessment task and appropriate criteria for their own context.

In addition, this session focuses on the key principles of effective assessment - validity, reliability, practicality, authenticity, as well as concrete concerns such as the issue of juggling sometimes conflicting roles (teacher vs assessor) and how to ensure students do their best whilst at the same time being enabled to make trustworthy assessment judgments. The difference between norm-referenced, criterion-referenced and standards-referenced assessment will also be clarified, and various forms of verification explored, including social moderation and benchmarking.

Week 3 17 June

Key reading:

- Brookhart, S. M. (2003). Developing measurement theory for classroom assessment purposes and uses. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 22(4), 5-12. Retrived from http://datause.cse.ucla.edu/docs/smb_dev_2003.pdf
- Davison, C & Leung, C. (2009) Current issues in english language teacher-based. Assessment TESOL Quarterly, 43 (3), 393-415. Retrieved from http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/j.1545-7249.2009.tb00242.x/pdf
- Moss, P. A. (2003). Reconceptualizing validity for classroom assessment. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice, 22*(4), 13-25. Retrieved from http://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/bitstream/handle/2027.42/72390/j.1745-3992.2003.tb00140.x.pdf?sequence=1
- Smith, J. K. (2003). Reconsidering reliability in classroom assessment and grading. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 22(4), 26-33. Retrieved from http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1745-3992.2003.tb00141.x/pdf

	Teacher as a pedagogy expert: Using assessment and assessment data to plan learning and teaching activities
	This session focuses on the role of the teacher to use a wide range of assessment information to inform teaching and learning activities. You will identify sources of information which are needed to effectively plan a lesson. The session will conclude by outlining ethical standards in assessment.
Week 4 24 June	Key readings:
	Hall, T., Strangman, N., & Meyer, A. (2003). Differentiated instruction and implications for UDL implementation. Wakefield, MA: National Centre on Accessing the General Curriculum. http://aim.cast.org/sites/aim.cast.org/sites/aim.cast.org/files/DI_UDL.1.14.11.pdf
	Differentiated assessment. Education Alberta. Retrieved from http://education.alberta.ca/media/1233985/7_ch4%20differentiated.pdf
	Teacher as a motivator: Using assessment to develop self-regulated and highly motivated learners
Week 5 1 July	This session focuses on using assessment strategies to enhance student motivation. You will analyse various ways to develop a classroom environment that values individual students. Also, you will identify ways to use assessment information to adapt teacher teaching to meet individual learning characteristics. Key readings:
	Clark, I. 2011. Formative assessment and motivation: Theories and themes. <i>Prime Research on Education, 1</i> (2),27-36. http://www.usca.edu/essays/vol142005/woytek.pdf Boekaerts, M. (2005). Self-regulation in the classroom: A perspective on assessment and intervention. <i>Applied Psychology: An International Review.</i> 54(2), 199-231. http://sohs.pbs.uam.es/webjesus/motiv_ev_autorr/lects%20extranjeras/self%20regulation.pdf
	Teacher as a data literate professional: Interpreting educational data
Week 6 8 July	This session highlights the data literacy needs of teachers. It covers test design, analysis and interpretation using the Item response Theory. The focus of this session is the use of summative assessment for formative purposes. You will analyse assessment data and use the results to inform both learning and teaching.
o July	Key reading:
	Pierce, R., Chick, H., Les, M., & Dalton, M. (2014). A statistical literacy hierarchy for interpreting educational system data. Retreived form http://aed.sagepub.com/content/early/2014/03/31/0004944114530067.full.pdf
	Teacher as a student partner: Engaging students in self and peer assessment
Week 7 15 July	This session focuses on the critical importance of helping students learn how to assess their own development, and that of their peers, and practical strategies for involving students in self and peer assessment. You will analyse the features of effective student participation in self and peer assessment by discussing several

video excerpts of learners undertaking real assessments, then after discussion and further input, complete two activities in which they have to first critique and adapt, then develop an assessment task and appropriate student-oriented criteria for their own context.

Key reading:

Sebba, J., Crick, R.D., Yu, G., Lawson, H., Harlen, W. Durant, K. (2008). Systematic review of research evidence of the impact on students in secondary schools of self and peer assessment. EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, Institute of London, University of London. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/222257/DCSF-EPPI-05-08FR.pdf

Teacher as a student partner: Providing high quality feedback/feed forward and reporting student learning

This session focuses on various strategies and techniques for providing appropriate and timely feedback to learners on their development, communicating to other key stakeholders, evaluating the assessment process and outcomes, building an AfL culture in the school, and maintaining effective records of every students' assessment participation and achievement, including samples of student work. You will analyse the characteristics of effective feedback by looking at several video excerpts of teachers giving whole class and individual feedback.

Week 8 22 July

Key readings:

Hattie, J. & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81-112.

Spiller, D. (2009). Assessment: Feedback to promote student learning. Teaching Development Office | Wāhanga Whakapakari Ako. The University of Waikato.

http://www.waikato.ac.nz/tdu/pdf/booklets/6_AssessmentFeedback.pdf

William, J. G. (2003). Providing feedback on ESL students' written assignments. *The Internet TESL Journal*, *9*(10).

Teacher as a teacher learner: Building a community of teacher learners

Week 9

29 July

This session focuses on how to build a community of teacher learners. You will identify various ways to use assessment information to identify the effectiveness and appropriateness of your classroom practices. Also, you will engage in self-assessment using the teacher AfL competency framework and will identify your professional development needs both in AfL literacy and in curriculum-content knowledge.

Key readings:

Timperley, H. (2011). Using student assessment for professional learning: Focusing on students' outcomes to identify teachers' needs (pp. 1-23). DEECD, Victoria.

http://www.education.vic.gov.au/Documents/about/research/timperleyassessment.pdf

Timperley, H., Wilson, A., Barrar, H. & Fung, I. 2008, Teacher professional learning and development: Best evidence synthesis on professional learning and development, Report to the Ministry of Education, Wellington. http://www.oecd.org/edu/school/48727127.pdf

Popham. W. J. (2009) Assessment literacy for teachers: Faddish or fundamental?, Theory Into Practice, 48(1), 4-11. http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00405840802577536

Week 10

5 Aug

Teacher as a stakeholders partner: Enhancing community's trust and establishing a home-school collaboration

This session focuses on how to establish a continuing dialogue with stakeholders particularly with the parents and the community as a whole. You will identify various strategies to address each stakeholder's information need to enhance community trust. More importantly, you will develop various strategies to establish a home-school collaboration to support student learning.

Key reading:

Shepard, L. & Bliem. C. (1995). Parents' thinking about standardised tests and performance assessments. *Educational Researcher. 24* (8) 25-32. http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/1176891.pdf?&acceptTC=true&jpdConfirm=true

7. RESOURCES

Set text

Glasson, T. (2008) *Improving student achievement: A practical guide to Assessment for Learning.*Melbourne: Curriculum Corporation

Readman, K. & Allen, B. (2013). Practical planning and assessment. Oxford University Press

General background reading

Gardiner, J. (Ed.) (2012) Assessment and learning. London: Sage. Wilaim, D. ((2011) Embedded formative assessment. Bloomington, USA: Solution Tree.

Journal articles and books

Assessment Reform Group. 2002. Assessment for learning: 10 principles

Black, P. & William, D. (2001) Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment.

Boekaerts, M. (2005). Self-regulation in the classroom: A perspective on assessment and intervention. *Applied Psychology: An International Review. 54*(2), 199-231. http://sohs.pbs.uam.es/webjesus/motiv_ev_autorr/lects%20extranjeras/self%20regulation.pdf

- Brookhart, S. M. (2003). Developing measurement theory for classroom assessment purposes and uses. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 22(4), 5-12. Retrived from http://datause.cse.ucla.edu/docs/smb_dev_2003.pdf
- Clark, I. 2011. Formative assessment and motivation: Theories and themes. *Prime Research on Education*, 1(2),27-36. http://www.usca.edu/essays/vol142005/woytek.pdf
- Curtin Teaching and Learning. 2010. Developing appropriate assessment tasks. In Curtin Teaching and Learning 2010. Curtin University. Perth. Retrieved from http://otl.curtin.edu.au/local/downloads/learning_teaching/tl_handbook/tlbookchap5_2012.pdf
- Davison, C & Leung, C. (2009) Current issues in english language teacher-based. *Assessment TESOL Quarterly*, 43 (3), 393-415. Retrieved from http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/i.1545-7249.2009.tb00242.x/pdf
- Differentiated assessment. Education Alberta. Retrieved from http://education.alberta.ca/media/1233985/7_ch4%20differentiated.pdf
- Hall, T., Strangman, N., & Meyer, A. (2003). *Differentiated instruction and implications for UDL implementation*. Wakefield, MA: National Centre on Accessing the General Curriculum. http://aim.cast.org/sites/aim.cast.org/files/DI_UDL.1.14.11.pdf
- Hattie, J. & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81-112.
- Hendry, G., Armstrong, S., & Bromberger, N. (2011). Implementing standards-based assessment effectively: Incorporating discussion of exemplars into classroom teaching. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 1-13,iFirst Article. http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/02602938.2010.515014
- Malini Reddy, Y. & Andrade, H (2010) A review of rubric use in higher education, *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, *35*(4), 435-448, http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/02602930902862859
- Moss, P. A. (2003). Reconceptualizing validity for classroom assessment. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice, 22*(4), 13-25. Retrieved from http://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/bitstream/handle/2027.42/72390/j.1745-3992.2003.tb00140.x.pdf?sequence=1
- Popham, J. (1997). What's wrong and what's right with rubrics. School as safe heavens. http://www.ascd.org/publications/educational-leadership/oct97/vol55/num02/What%27s-Wrong%E2%80%94and-What%27s-Right%E2%80%94with-Rubrics.aspx
- Rust, C., Price, M., & O'Donovan, B. (2003). Improving students' learning by developing their understanding of assessment criteria and processes. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 28(2), 147-164. http://area.fc.ul.pt/en/artigos%20publicados%20internacionais/Improving%20students%20learning.pdf
- Sebba, J., Crick, R.D., Yu, G., Lawson, H., Harlen, W. Durant, K. (2008). Systematic review of research evidence of the impact on students in secondary schools of self and peer assessment. EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, Institute of London, University of London.

 https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/222257/DCSF-EPPI-05-08FR.pdf
- Shepard, L. & Bliem. C. (1995). Parents' thinking about standardised tests and performance assessments. *Educational Researcher.* 24 (8) 25-32. http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/1176891.pdf?&acceptTC=true&jpdConfirm=true

- Smith, J. K. (2003). Reconsidering reliability in classroom assessment and grading. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 22(4), 26-33. Retrieved from http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1745-3992.2003.tb00141.x/pdf
- Spiller, D. (2009). Assessment: Feedback to promote student learning. Teaching Development Office | Wāhanga Whakapakari Ako. The University of Waikato. http://www.waikato.ac.nz/tdu/pdf/booklets/6_AssessmentFeedback.pdf
- Timperley, H. (2011). Using student assessment for professional learning: Focusing on students' outcomes to identify teachers' needs (pp. 1-23). DEECD, Victoria. http://www.education.vic.gov.au/Documents/about/research/timperleyassessment.pdf
- Timperley, H., Wilson, A., Barrar, H. & Fung, I. 2008, *Teacher professional learning and development:*Best evidence synthesis on professional learning and development, Report to the Ministry of Education, Wellington. http://www.oecd.org/edu/school/48727127.pdf
- Popham. W. J. (2009) Assessment literacy for teachers: Faddish or fundamental?, *Theory Into Practice*, 48(1), 4-11. http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00405840802577536
- William, J. G. (2003). Providing feedback on ESL students' written assignments. *The Internet TESL Journal*, *9*(10).
- Willis, J. (2009). Assessment for learning: A sociocultural approach. In: Proceedings of: Changing climates: Education for sustainable futures, 30 November 4 December 2008, Australia, Queensland, Kelvin Gro. http://eprints.gut.edu.au/29323/1/29323.pdf

Useful Websites

- <u>Assessment and Classroom Learning</u>, http://english.unitecnology.ac.nz/resources/resources/classroom_learning.html
- Assessment as Feedback, http://www.newhorizons.org/strategies/assess/wiggins.htm

Useful Websites

- Assessment as Feedback, http://www.newhorizons.org/strategies/assess/wiggins.htm
- <u>Feedback and Assessment: Educative Assessment,</u> http://www.ou.edu/pii/tips/ideas/feedback2.html
- <u>Dynamic Assessment</u>, http://dynamicassessment.com/_wsn/page2.html
- <u>Scaffolding Website</u>, http://condor.admin.ccny.cuny.edu/~group4/
- <u>Scaffolding as an instruction technique</u>, http://www.ncrel.org/sdrs/areas/issues/students/learning/lr1scaf.htm
- <u>Scaffolding</u>,
- http://projects.coe.uga.edu/epltt/index.php?title=Scaffolding#What_is_Scaffolding.3F
- <u>Schools, Skills and Scaffolding on the Web,</u> http://projects.coe.uga.edu/epltt/index.php?title=Scaffolding#What_is_Scaffolding.3F
- <u>Teacher and Peer Feedback</u>, http://www97.intel.com/en/ProjectDesign/InstructionalStrategies/Feedback/

8. ASSESSMENT

			Student	Program	Due	Date
Assessment Task	Length	Weight	Learning Outcomes Assessed	Learning Outcomes Assessed	First submission*	Final submission
Self-assessment	1500 words	40%	1,2,3	1,2,4,5	20 th June 5.00pm	27 th June 5.00pm
AfL- infused unit of work	4500 words	60%	1,2,3	1,2,3,4,5,6	5 th August 5.00pm	20 th August 5.00pm

^{*}First submission is optional but highly encouraged. The purpose of this submission is to receive teacher feedback and peer feedback. Students will then revise their output based from the feedback and submit their final paper.

Submission of assessments

Students are required to follow their lecturer's instructions when submitting their work for assessment. All assessment will be submitted online via Moodle by 5pm. Students are also required to keep all drafts, original data and other evidence of the authenticity of the work for at least one year after examination. If an assessment is mislaid the student is responsible for providing a further copy. Please see the Student Policies and Procedures for information regarding submission, extensions, special consideration, late penalties and hurdle requirements etc. https://education.arts.unsw.edu.au/students/courses/course-outlines/

Assessment Details

HURDLE REQUIREMENT

You are required to participate in online discussions and forums. A minimum of 4 posts or replies to the discussion topics is needed.

Assessment	Weighting	Purpose
A case study of your assessment practices	1500 words (40%)	This task requires you to read and critically reflect on your own assessment practices with reference to the two key readings indicated for Session 1, and at least five other readings from the list of recommended reading. Some or all of the following questions can be used to focus your thinking and/or structure your reflections: • How has assessment changed in your learning and teaching context in recent years? • In what ways have your own understandings of, and practices related to, assessment changed and/or need to change? • What factors have caused these changes for the relationship between assessment, learning and teaching? • What are the more urgent challenges and issues for you/your colleagues in relation to assessment?

Assessment	Weighting	Purpose
		For school leaders, you can use the assessment culture and practices in your school as bases for your reflection. *You are required to conduct a self-assessment of your first draft using the rubrics for this task. Submit the results of your self-assessment with your paper through email.
Task 2 Development of an AfL- infused curriculum unit	4500 words (60%)	This task requires you to take an existing teaching unit from your context and to infuse it with the principles and practices of assessment for learning as discussed throughout the course and related readings. The unit should include: • A statement of rationale, purpose, and focus • Details of student learning activities • Details of assessment activities • Details of resources to be used • Reflection and Concluding statement of 1800 words explaining how the unit embodies AfL principles and demonstrates your understanding of key concepts and issues (cite a range of literature) explicitly raised during the course and in your follow up readings. Alternatively, if you have an innovative assessment practice (original) and you have used it in your class, you can write a paper (3,500 words) to describe it. You need to provide a strong theoretical rationale and discuss its practical applications in improving student learning. You need to provide an empirical
		evidence to support your claim. Other alternative assignments may be negotiated.
		*You are required to conduct a self-assessment of your first draft using the rubrics for this task. Submit the results of your self-assessment with your paper through email.

UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5443 ASSESSMENT FOR LEARNING

Student Name: Student No.:

Assessment Task: Self-Assessment

SPECIFIC CRITERIA	(-)		>	(+)
Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved				
 understanding of the task and its relationship to relevant areas of theory, research and practice 				
clarity and accuracy in use of key terms and concepts in assessment				
Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the task				
 depth of understanding of key AfL principles, concepts and issues explicitly raised during the course and in your follow up readings. 				
 depth of analysis of specific strengths and weakness of assessment processes and systems including theoretical as well as practical and policy- related issues 				
clarity and depth of reflection				
Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature				
used to support response				
 range of research and professional literature on assessment theory to support response 				
Structure and organization of response				
appropriateness of overall structure of response				
clarity and coherence of organisation				
Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and				
linguistic conventions				
clarity, consistency and appropriateness of conventions for quoting,				
paraphrasing, attributing sources of information, and listing references				
clarity and consistency in presenting tables and diagrams				
clarity and appropriateness of sentence structure, vocabulary use, spelling, punctuation and word length for a personal reflection				
GENERAL COMMENTS				

Lecturer Date

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 40%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualize and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee

UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5443 ASSESSMENT FOR LEARNING

Student Name: Student No.:

Assessment Task: AfL - Infused Unit of Work

Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved understanding of the task and its relationship to relevant areas of theory, research and practice clarity and accuracy in use of key terms and concepts in assessment Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the task depth of understanding of measurement principles, concepts and issues. depth of interpretation of the results of test analysis and specific strengths and weaknesses of the test are discussed clarity and depth of discussion of the implications for practice. Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response range of research and professional literature on assessment theory and practice to support response Structure and organization of response appropriateness of overall structure of response clarity and coherence of organisation Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions clarity, consistency and appropriateness of conventions for quoting,		
theory, research and practice		
clarity and accuracy in use of key terms and concepts in assessment Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the task depth of understanding of measurement principles, concepts and issues. depth of interpretation of the results of test analysis and specific strengths and weaknesses of the test are discussed clarity and depth of discussion of the implications for practice. Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response range of research and professional literature on assessment theory and practice to support response Structure and organization of response appropriateness of overall structure of response clarity and coherence of organisation Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions		
Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the task depth of understanding of measurement principles, concepts and issues. depth of interpretation of the results of test analysis and specific strengths and weaknesses of the test are discussed clarity and depth of discussion of the implications for practice. Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response range of research and professional literature on assessment theory and practice to support response Structure and organization of response appropriateness of overall structure of response clarity and coherence of organisation Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions		
depth of understanding of measurement principles, concepts and issues. depth of interpretation of the results of test analysis and specific strengths and weaknesses of the test are discussed clarity and depth of discussion of the implications for practice. Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response range of research and professional literature on assessment theory and practice to support response Structure and organization of response appropriateness of overall structure of response clarity and coherence of organisation Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions		
strengths and weaknesses of the test are discussed clarity and depth of discussion of the implications for practice. Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response range of research and professional literature on assessment theory and practice to support response Structure and organization of response appropriateness of overall structure of response clarity and coherence of organisation Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions		
Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response • range of research and professional literature on assessment theory and practice to support response Structure and organization of response • appropriateness of overall structure of response • clarity and coherence of organisation Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions		
used to support response		
 range of research and professional literature on assessment theory and practice to support response Structure and organization of response appropriateness of overall structure of response clarity and coherence of organisation Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions 		
and practice to support response Structure and organization of response appropriateness of overall structure of response clarity and coherence of organisation Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions		
Structure and organization of response		
appropriateness of overall structure of response clarity and coherence of organisation Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions		
clarity and coherence of organisation Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions		
Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions		
linguistic conventions		
clarity, consistency and appropriateness of conventions for quoting.		
paraphrasing, attributing sources of information, and listing references		
clarity and consistency in presenting tables and diagrams		
 clarity and appropriateness of sentence structure, vocabulary use, 		
spelling, punctuation and word length for a personal reflection		
GENERAL COMMENTS	<u>'</u>	1

Lecturer Date

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 60%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualize and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee